

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

STANLEY RUSSAW,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:23-CV-1669
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
WARDEN DAVID W. GRAY,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
Respondent.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	[Regarding ECF No. 1]

On July 8, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation denying Petitioner's request for habeas relief pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#). The magistrate judge recommended the Court deny the petition. [ECF No. 8 at PageID #: 814](#).

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by August 21, 2024.¹ Neither party has timely filed objections. Any further review by the Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn](#), 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); [Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services](#), 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981). Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the

¹ Petitioner, through counsel, requested an extension of time to file objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, which the Court granted. See [ECF No. 9](#); Order [non-document], 07/18/2024.

(1:23-CV-1669)

magistrate judge is hereby adopted. Stanley Russaw's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied.

The Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#); [Fed R. App. P. 22\(b\)](#).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 23, 2024

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge